INCIDENT PERSONNEL PERFORMANCE RATING ICS-225 AH

INSTRUCTIONS: The immediate job supervisor will prepare this form for each subordinate. It will be delivered to the planning section before the rater leaves the incident. Rating will be reviewed with the subordinate who will sign at the bottom. To electronically fill form, double-click on first word of each section, then enter information.

THIS RATING AREA	IS TO	BE USE	D ONLY FOR DETE	RMI	NING A	AN INDIVIDUAL	'S PE	ERFO	RMANCE ON	I AN INCIDENT		
1. Name:	2. Incident Name											
3. Home Unit and Phone Number 4. Loc									ocation of Incident:			
						1				T		
Position Assigned			Date of Assignment	:		7. Date Incident		8. Inci	dent Type:	9. Incident Kind:		
						Started:						
			From: To:									
10. Evaluation												
		T										
Rating Factors	N/A		- Unacceptable	2		3 - Met Standards		4		eded Expectations		
A. Knowledge of the job/ Professional Competence &		Questionable competence and credibility, Operational or specialty			Competent and credible authority on specialty or operational issues			Superior expertise; advice and actions showed great breadth and depth of				
Using ICS:		expertise inadequate or lacking in			or specially or operational issues			knowledge				
		key areas	_	l		_			Ü	_		
		0										
B. Planning/Preparedness & ability to obtain		Got caught by the unexpected; appeared to be controlled by				stently prepared. Set high alistic goals. Work was			Exceptional preparation. Always looked beyond immediate events or problems.			
performance/results:			utine tasks accomplished			and of high quality;				mal balance among		
performance/results.	_	with difficu	ılty.	_	require	ed same of subordina	ites.		quality, quantity	, and timeliness of work.		
2.1.1 (1.11)	Ш								5			
C. Adaptability/Attitude:			gauge effectiveness of opposite political realities, or			tive to change, new ation, and technology	,			ed and confidently nging conditions,		
			istments when needed.		IIIIOIIII	ation, and technology	<i>/</i> .			s, new information and		
		Maintaine	d a poor_outlook.			_			technology.	_		
D. Communication Skills:			effectively articulate			vely expressed ideas				ted and promoted ideas.		
			facts; lacked preparation, e, or logic.			n individual and group ons; non-verbal actior			sensitive issues	nting complex or		
		00111100110	o, oog.o.			tent with spoken mes			0011011111011001100	•		
E. Directing Others:			ifficulty in directing or			gh work standards; cle				leader who motivated		
			g others. Unwilling to authority to increase			ated job requirements tations and measuren				ve results not normally lified leadership styles to		
			of task accomplishment.			a; held subordinates	Hent			tions. Won people over		
			_ '		accour				rather than imp	osing will.		
F. Ability to work on/			of individuals' s increased chance of			lly used teams to incr fectiveness, quality, a				f teams raised unit rond expectations.		
Consideration for team:			ldom recognized or			e. Cared for people.	ariu			vel of esprit de corps,		
		rewarded	deserving subordinates			nized and responded	l to			situations. Ensured		
			Used teams ineffectively		their n	eeds.				timely recognition of		
	П	or at wron	g times.						others.			
G. Judgment/Decisions	Ш	Decisions	often displayed poor	Ш	Skillful	lly used teams to incr	6286		Combined keer	n analytical thought and		
under stress:			Failed to make necessary			fectiveness, quality, a				appropriate decisions.		
u			or jumped to conclusions		service	е.				key issues and the		
		without co	nsidering facts.						most relevant in			
H. Initiative		Postnone	d needed action.		Chami	pioned improvement		\perp	Angressively so	bught out additional		
11. Illidative			ted or supported			h new ideas, method:	s, and			self-learner. Optimized		
			ents only when directed.			es; self-starter.	,		use of new idea			
	Ш		<u> </u>			<u> </u>		ЦШ				
I. Adherence to safety:			adequately identify and resonnel from safety			ed that safe operating dures were followed.)		Demonstrated a towards safety	a significant commitment		
		hazards.	isonnei irom salety		proced	ures were ronowed.			lowards safety	or personner.		
11. Remarks/Potential: Type Remarks here; Describe ability to assume greater leadership roles and responsibilities (e.g., rate performance,												
recommend incident manage	ement p	positions a	nd/or ICS or other traini	ng).								
40 Dated Dance (characters) This and an horal discount with an												
12. Rated Person (signature) This rating has been discussed with me.							13. Date:					
14: Rated By (Signature/prin	t namo	7).	15: Supervisor Home I	Init /	addracc	s/nhone).	16. 0	Supervi	sor Position:	Date:		
17. Natou by (Signature/prin	riaiiie	·/·	10. Gupervisor Florite	J 1111 (6	.uui C 33	, prioric).	10. 3	Jupei VI	oor i OoklOH.	Date.		

INCIDENT PERSONNEL PERFORMANCE RATING (ICS-225 AH) - Rev 04/19

Purpose: The Incident Personnel Performance Rating gives supervisors the opportunity to evaluate subordinates on incident assignments. THIS RATING IS TO BE USED <u>ONLY</u> FOR DETERMINING AN INDIVIDUAL'S PERFORMANCE ON AN INCIDENT/EVENT.

Preparation: The Incident Personnel Performance Rating is normally prepared by the supervisor for each subordinate, using the evaluation standard given in the form. It will be delivered to the planning section before the rater leaves the incident. Rating will be reviewed with the subordinate who will sign at the bottom.

Distribution: The Incident Personnel Performance Rating is duplicated a copy is given to the subordinate and supervisor. All completed original forms MUST be given to the Documentation Unit.

Item #	Item Title Name	Instructions Enter the name of the person being evaluated.
2.	Incident Name	Enter the name assigned to the incident.
3.	Home Unit	Enter the address and phone number of the home unit of the person being evaluated.
4.	Location of Incident	Enter the address/location of the incident.
5.	Position Assigned	Enter the position assigned for the purpose of this evaluation.
6.	Date of Assignment	Enter the date of assignment.
7.	Date Incident Started	Enter the date the incident started.
8.	Type of Incident	Enter the Type (size) of the incident: Type 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5.
9.	Kind of Incident	Enter the kind of incident: Oil/Hazmat Spill, SAR, Fire, etc.
10.	Evaluation	Enter X under the appropriate rating for each category listed using the definitions given.
	Not Applicable	Not observed
	1 - Unacceptable Deficient	Does not meet minimum requirements of the individual element. DEFICIENCIES/IMPROVEMENTS NEEDED MUST BE IDENTIFIED IN REMARKS.
	2 - Needs to improve	Meets some or most of the requirements of the individual element. IDENTIFY IMPROVEMENT NEEDED IN REMARKS.
	3 - Met Standards	Satisfactory. Employee meets all requirements of the individual element.
	4 - Fully successful	Employee meets all requirements and exceeds one or several of the requirements of the individual element.
	5 - Exceeded Expectations	Superior. Employee consistently exceeds the performance requirements.
11.	Remarks	Provide remarks/comments for ratings given. Comments required for unsatisfactory and needs to improve ratings.
12.	Rated Person Signature	Rated Person's signature.
13.	Date	Enter date (month, day, year) rated person signed performance rating.
14.	Rated By	Signature and printed name of supervisor/person giving the performance rating.
15.	Supervisor Home Unit	Enter address/phone of supervisor.
16.	Supervisor Position	Enter the position the supervisor held.
17.	Date	Enter date (month, day, year) supervisor signed the performance rating.